



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,401	07/18/2003	Craig A. Rosen	PZ020P2C1	6102
22195	7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC			ZEMAN, MARY K	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/200-	DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/621,401	ROSEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Mary K Zeman	1631
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period fo			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replest or poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from s. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·	
	•	s action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	ı .	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).
a)	D All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
	application from the International Burea		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ed.
Attachme	nt(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: ___

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1631

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-23 are pending in the application.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, 14-15, and 21 drawn to polynucleotides, classified in at least for example,
 Class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 11-12 and 16, drawn to polypeptides, classified in at least for example Class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to antibodies, classified in at least for example Class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 17, drawn to a method of treating, preventing, or ameliorating a condition using nucleic acids, classified in at least for example Class 514, subclass 44.
- V. Claim 18, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition using nucleic acids, classified in at least for example Class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claim 19, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition using polypeptides, classified in at least for example Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VII. Claim 20, drawn to a method of identifying a binding partner, classified in at least for example Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claim 22, drawn to a method of identifying an activity in a biological assay, classified in at least for example Class 435, subclass 69.1.
- IX. Claim 23, drawn to a structurally undefined protein product, classified in at least for example Class 530, subclass 324.

Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct SEQ ID Numbers. Each sequence is patentably distinct because the sequences are structurally unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. Applicant must further elect a single SEQ ID NO. (See MPEP 803.04). Applicant must specifically identify each of the corresponding SEQ ID NO: X, SEQ ID NO: Y, and Clone ID NO: Z for the sequence elected.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected SEQ ID NO. and should not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons.

The polynucleotide and polypeptide products of groups I and II can be shown to be distinct, each from the other. Although the polynucleotides and polypeptides are related as the claimed polynucleotide is asserted to encode the claimed polypeptide, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein as evidenced by the methods of at least groups IV and V. The antibody product of group III and the protein product of group IX are structurally and functionally distinct from each other and from the polynucleotides of group I and polypeptide product of group II. The methods of groups IV-VIII can be shown to be distinct, each from the other, as they have different starting materials, method steps, and goals. These methods can be shown to be distinct from the products of groups I-III and IX, as each of these products is either not used in the methods of groups IV-V or has uses unrelated to these methods. For example, the polynucleotides can be used to make the protein or as probes, the polypeptides can be used to make antibodies, and the antibodies can be used in methods of purification. Each group would require a non-coextensive literature search.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the necessity for non-coextensive literature and sequence searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1631

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP 821.04.

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01.

A fully responsive reply will comprise the election of both a group, and a particular sequence to be examined.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P Woodward can be reached on (571) 272 0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER